

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,337	07/14/2006	Akira Nishiyama	Q95734	2433
23373 7590 10/16/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			KELLY, ROBERT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/586,337 NISHIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert M. Kelly	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	oril 2007				
, <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
•	k parte Quayle, 1955 O.D. 11, 45	0.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		* *			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110(e)	(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(d) Or (r).			
·—_ ·— ·—	have been received				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		•			
application from the International Bureau		d III tills Ivational Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
	a and contained copies that receive	u .			
Attachment(s)					
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/586,337

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are subject to the following restriction requirements.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, drawn to a method of making an optically active 1,4-pentanediol represented in formula 1.

Group II, claim(s) 11-12, drawn to a method of making an optically active 1-substituted 2-methylpyrrolidine represented by formula 4.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature or general inventive concept shared between the two claimed compounds. To wit, at best, the special technical feature may be considered to be an optically active substance, but such has been known for many years, as is known by the practitioner. In addition, the substances require distinct considerations for examination and art, as they have distinct structure. The considerations would pose a serious burden on the Examiner to search each invention together, and hence, such demonstrates that there is no general inventive concept.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to choose a single compound or enzyme from of a single organism encompassed in claims 3-9, as specifically supported by the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/586,337

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 3-9 recite various genera encompassed by various organisms.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the various species encompass proteins or compounds associated with many distinct organisms, and as such the search and examination is serious, requiring considerations of the substances and proteins, and other compounds produced by or within such organisms, which are non-coextensive.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/586,337

Art Unit: 1633

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly, Art Unit 1633, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633 Patents Hoteling Program Mailbox 2C70, Remsen Building (571) 272-0729